




ZFV

Docket No.: 244157US2SRD DIV

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ECKHARD H. KUESTERS
(703) 413-3000
EKUESTERS@OBLON.COM

MICHAEL E. MONACO
(703) 413-3000
MMONACO@OBLON.COM

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/690,528
Applicants: MIWAKO DOI, ET AL.
Filing Date: October 23, 2003
For: SERVICE SYSTEM AND SERVICE METHOD
EMPLOYING PORTABLE TERMINAL
Group Art Unit: 3709
Examiner: TORIMIRO, A. O.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Provisional Election

Our check in the amount of 0 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.


Eckhard H. Kuesters
Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)
I:\ATTY\MM24\S\244157US\COVER LTR 1-23-07.DOC

Michael E. Monaco
Registration No. 52,041



DOCKET NO: 244157US2SRD DIV

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :
MIWAKO DOI, ET AL. : EXAMINER: TORIMIRO, A. O.
SERIAL NO: 10/690,528 :
FILED: OCTOBER 23, 2003 : GROUP ART UNIT: 3709
FOR: SERVICE SYSTEM AND SERVICE :
METHOD EMPLOYING PORTABLE
TERMINAL

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election requirement dated December 29, 2006, Applicant provisionally elect with traverse Group I, Claims 25, 28, 34, 36, 38, 39, and 40, drawn to a portable terminal device configured to execute a game program solely or in collaboration with another device classified in class 463, and subclass 42 for further examination on the merits. Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected invention.

Furthermore, while the Election Requirement asserts that the application contains claims to patentably distinct inventions, MPEP § 803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Although the outstanding Official Action identifies different search classifications, it is believed that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in a handful of

Application No. 10/690,528
Reply to Office Action of December 29, 2006

sub-classes. Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional effort. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 25, 28, 34, 36, 38, 39, and 40 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/03)



Eckhard H. Kuesters
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 28,870

Michael E. Monaco
Registration No. 52,041